



Intensive In-home Services Annual Report Fiscal Year 2012

Research and Evaluation
December 2012



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2012. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2012 (July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI

FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED

1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.

Table of Contents

Families and Children Referred and Served	1
Table 1 Families Referred for IIS	2
Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted	4
Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into IIS	6
Table 4 Families Accepted by Referral Source Code	8
Table 5 Families and Children Accepted by Month	10
Table 6 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages	10
Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention	11
Table 8 Duration of IIS Intervention by Site	11
Table 9 Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables	14
 Heads of Household Served	 16
Table 10 Head of Household Age for Families Accepted	17
Table 11 Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted	19
Table 12 Head of Household Race for Families Accepted	21
Table 13 Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted	25
Table 14 Head of Household Income for Families Accepted	29
Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	33
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables	34
 At-risk Children Served	 35
Table 16 Age of At-risk Children Accepted	36
Table 17 Gender of At-risk Children Accepted	38
Table 18 Race of At-risk Children Accepted	40
Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category	44
Table 20 At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented	48
Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	52
Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables	53
 Outcomes	 54
Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs	55
Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider	55
Table 24 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart	55
Table 25 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report	56
Table 26 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart	56
Table 27 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1	57
Table 28 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart	57
Notes: Outcomes Tables	58

Intensive In-home Services

Families and Children Referred and Served
During FY 2012

Table 1
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	133	34.3%	252	64.9%	3	.8%	388
	* SITE TOTAL *	133	34.3%	252	64.9%	3	.8%	388
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	261	57.7%	188	41.6%	3	.7%	452
	ST LOUIS CITY	191	52.5%	164	45.1%	9	2.5%	364
	* SITE TOTAL *	452	55.4%	352	43.1%	12	1.5%	816
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	11	50.0%	10	45.5%	1	4.5%	22
	BOONE	30	39.5%	46	60.5%	0	.0%	76
	CALLAWAY	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	26
	COLE	29	50.9%	28	49.1%	0	.0%	57
	HOWARD	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	LINCOLN	9	18.4%	40	81.6%	0	.0%	49
	MARION	13	43.3%	17	56.7%	0	.0%	30
	MONROE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONTGOMERY	6	31.6%	13	68.4%	0	.0%	19
	PIKE	5	25.0%	15	75.0%	0	.0%	20
	RALLS	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	12
	RANDOLPH	20	54.1%	17	45.9%	0	.0%	37
	WARREN	9	34.6%	16	61.5%	1	3.8%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	165	42.5%	221	57.0%	2	.5%	388
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	7
	BUCHANAN	14	36.8%	24	63.2%	0	.0%	38
	CALDWELL	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	CHARITON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	CLINTON	3	20.0%	12	80.0%	0	.0%	15
	DAVISS	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	DE KALB	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	HARRISON	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	10
	HOLT	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	0	.0%	18
	LIVINGSTON	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	0	.0%	13
	MERCER	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	9
	PUTNAM	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	6
	SULLIVAN	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	10
	WORTH	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	29.7%	115	69.7%	1	.6%	165
SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	7	19.4%	28	77.8%	1	2.8%	36
	DENT	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	HOWELL	5	35.7%	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	14
	IRON	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	OREGON	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	6
	PHELPS	10	25.6%	29	74.4%	0	.0%	39
	PULASKI	14	35.9%	25	64.1%	0	.0%	39
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	SHANNON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	9
	WAYNE	5	41.7%	6	50.0%	1	8.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	58	30.7%	123	65.1%	8	4.2%	189
SITE 736	BATES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CAMDEN	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	0	.0%	41
	DALLAS	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	13
	HENRY	9	39.1%	14	60.9%	0	.0%	23
	HICKORY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	20	36.4%	35	63.6%	0	.0%	55
	MILLER	8	33.3%	16	66.7%	0	.0%	24
	MONITEAU	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	8
	MORGAN	4	21.1%	15	78.9%	0	.0%	19
	POLK	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	0	.0%	17
	ST CLAIR	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	WEBSTER	12	40.0%	18	60.0%	0	.0%	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	99	39.9%	149	60.1%	0	.0%	248

Table 1 (continued)
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	6
	DADE	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	JASPER	42	26.6%	115	72.8%	1	.6%	158
	MCDONALD	5	15.2%	28	84.8%	0	.0%	33
	NEWTON	18	21.4%	66	78.6%	0	.0%	84
	VERNON	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	79	26.2%	221	73.2%	2	.7%	302
SITE 738	BARRY	7	20.6%	27	79.4%	0	.0%	34
	CHRISTIAN	14	35.9%	24	61.5%	1	2.6%	39
	DOUGLAS	12	29.3%	29	70.7%	0	.0%	41
	LAWRENCE	15	46.9%	17	53.1%	0	.0%	32
	OZARK	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	0	.0%	13
	STONE	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	0	.0%	18
	TANEY	30	34.5%	57	65.5%	0	.0%	87
	WRIGHT	17	41.5%	24	58.5%	0	.0%	41
	* SITE TOTAL *	109	35.7%	195	63.9%	1	.3%	305
SITE 739	GREENE	127	37.6%	203	60.1%	8	2.4%	338
	* SITE TOTAL *	127	37.6%	203	60.1%	8	2.4%	338
SITE 931	ADAIR	16	43.2%	21	56.8%	0	.0%	37
	CLARK	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	17
	KNOX	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	MACON	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11
	SHELBY	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	69	58.5%	49	41.5%	0	.0%	118
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	18	20.5%	69	78.4%	1	1.1%	88
	GASCONADE	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	JEFFERSON	60	29.3%	145	70.7%	0	.0%	205
	OSAGE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	23	22.8%	78	77.2%	0	.0%	101
	* SITE TOTAL *	111	27.1%	298	72.7%	1	.2%	410
SITE 933	CASS	13	21.3%	48	78.7%	0	.0%	61
	COOPER	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	JOHNSON	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	.0%	14
	PETTIS	31	67.4%	15	32.6%	0	.0%	46
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	44.9%	70	55.1%	0	.0%	127
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	9
	CLAY	23	62.2%	12	32.4%	2	5.4%	37
	LAFAYETTE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	PLATTE	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	18
	RAY	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	11
	SALINE	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	0	.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	48.5%	49	49.5%	2	2.0%	99
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	32	42.1%	43	56.6%	1	1.3%	76
	MADISON	13	76.5%	4	23.5%	0	.0%	17
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	14	100.0%	0	.0%	14
	PERRY	12	54.5%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	22
	ST FRANCOIS	41	56.2%	32	43.8%	0	.0%	73
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	SCOTT	7	29.2%	17	70.8%	0	.0%	24
	WASHINGTON	14	56.0%	11	44.0%	0	.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	48.1%	137	51.5%	1	.4%	266
SITE 936	BUTLER	17	43.6%	22	56.4%	0	.0%	39
	DUNKLIN	6	11.3%	47	88.7%	0	.0%	53
	NEW MADRID	4	13.8%	24	82.8%	1	3.4%	29
	PEMISCOT	6	25.0%	18	75.0%	0	.0%	24
	RIPLEY	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	17
	STODDARD	15	18.1%	68	81.9%	0	.0%	83
	* SITE TOTAL *	58	23.7%	186	75.9%	1	.4%	245
STATE TOTAL		1,742	39.6%	2,620	59.5%	42	1.0%	4,404

Table 2
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2012
Reason Not Accepted Codes

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	29	2	4	8	18	1	4	72	1	0	0	6	28	12	0	101	286
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	2	4	8	18	1	4	72	1	0	0	6	28	12	0	101	286
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	7	2	5	12	37	6	29	91	0	2	0	1	2	8	0	79	281
	ST LOUIS CITY	14	6	24	21	37	1	9	67	0	1	0	0	2	7	0	38	227
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	8	29	33	74	7	38	158	0	3	0	1	4	15	0	117	508
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	BOONE	2	2	0	2	0	1	0	16	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	47	76
	CALLAWAY	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	13
	COLE	3	6	1	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	33
	HOWARD	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	LINCOLN	7	9	22	3	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	66
	MARION	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	28
	MONTGOMERY	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	10
	PIKE	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	29
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6
	RANDOLPH	0	2	8	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	25
	WARREN	0	11	0	0	3	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	63	35	7	8	1	0	115	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	77	331
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	ATCHISON	3	0	4	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	17
	BUCHANAN	1	17	2	4	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	44
	CALDWELL	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CHARITON	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CLINTON	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	DAVIESS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	DE KALB	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GRUNDY	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	LINN	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	13
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MERCER	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	NODAWAY	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
	PUTNAM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	13
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	29	15	4	3	0	5	73	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	4	149
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	14	4	0	0	5	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	44
	DENT	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13
	HOWELL	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15
	IRON	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	10
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7
	PHELPS	3	2	3	1	4	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	30
	PULASKI	2	4	8	1	3	0	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	32
	REYNOLDS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	SHANNON	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	TEXAS	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	WAYNE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	20	13	8	14	1	0	56	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	26	170
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5
	BENTON	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	CAMDEN	0	18	0	3	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	DALLAS	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	HENRY	4	6	2	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25
	HICKORY	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LACLEDE	0	24	5	0	2	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
	MILLER	3	8	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	MONITEAU	5	1	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	MORGAN	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	POLK	0	12	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	24
	ST CLAIR	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
	WEBSTER	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	91	16	3	15	1	7	72	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	3	227

Table 2 (continued)
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2012
Reason Not Accepted Codes

SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CEDAR	0	6	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	JASPER	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	61	98
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	27
	NEWTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10	74
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	7	4	0	1	3	5	122	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	80	227
SITE 738	BARRY	0	14	0	1	2	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	43
	CHRISTIAN	10	10	0	1	1	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
	DOUGLAS	0	15	1	5	5	0	0	7	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	39
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	23
	OZARK	0	6	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	STONE	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	TANEY	15	42	0	1	6	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	9	89
	WRIGHT	0	27	2	6	6	0	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	119	4	14	26	0	5	81	0	2	0	1	3	2	0	19	301
SITE 739	GREENE	4	17	1	8	18	3	5	76	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	17	154
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	17	1	8	18	3	5	76	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	17	154
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	24
	CLARK	0	2	0	0	4	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	KNOX	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LEWIS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MACON	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3	2	1	6	1	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	58
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	11	0	0	4	5	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	30	85
	GASCONADE	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9
	JEFFERSON	11	6	0	0	8	0	1	61	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	103
	ST CHARLES	3	13	0	1	11	0	3	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	71
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	19	1	6	24	0	4	133	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	51	268
SITE 933	CASS	2	10	3	0	2	2	4	36	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	15	78
	COOPER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
	PETTIS	1	0	0	8	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	11	3	11	6	2	4	48	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	16	110
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	CLAY	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	15
	LAFAYETTE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	8
	RAY	0	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	SALINE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	6	2	4	6	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	11	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	51
	MADISON	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	16
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	13	0	2	1	0	0	20	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	40
	SCOTT	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	16
	WASHINGTON	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	23	6	9	6	0	8	92	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	7	165
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	1	0	0	10	0	4	10	0	0	0	8	4	0	0	7	44
	DUNKLIN	1	11	0	1	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	46
	NEW MADRID	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	33
	PEMISCOT	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	20	36
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23
	STODDARD	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	92
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	21	8	5	24	0	4	136	0	0	0	16	5	0	0	54	274
STATE TOTAL		187	439	143	121	249	20	89	1,287	1	5	0	41	55	62	0	583	3,282

Table 3
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	129	345	2.67	296	2.29
	* SITE TOTAL *	129	345	2.67	296	2.29
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	261	699	2.68	487	1.87
	ST LOUIS CITY	189	515	2.72	356	1.88
	* SITE TOTAL *	450	1,214	2.70	843	1.87
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	11	29	2.64	14	1.27
	BOONE	29	93	3.21	68	2.34
	CALLAWAY	18	43	2.39	35	1.94
	COLE	28	68	2.43	55	1.96
	HOWARD	4	11	2.75	8	2.00
	LINCOLN	9	22	2.44	19	2.11
	MARION	13	33	2.54	26	2.00
	MONROE	4	11	2.75	7	1.75
	MONTGOMERY	6	16	2.67	8	1.33
	PIKE	5	13	2.60	10	2.00
	RALLS	7	19	2.71	13	1.86
	RANDOLPH	20	42	2.10	37	1.85
	WARREN	10	25	2.50	14	1.40
	* SITE TOTAL *	164	425	2.59	314	1.91
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	3	3.00	2	2.00
	ATCHISON	1	4	4.00	3	3.00
	BUCHANAN	13	30	2.31	22	1.69
	CALDWELL	3	12	4.00	12	4.00
	CHARITON	5	18	3.60	11	2.20
	CLINTON	3	11	3.67	10	3.33
	DE KALB	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	GRUNDY	2	6	3.00	4	2.00
	HARRISON	1	2	2.00	1	1.00
	LINN	8	26	3.25	23	2.88
	LIVINGSTON	5	11	2.20	11	2.20
	PUTNAM	2	7	3.50	7	3.50
	SULLIVAN	3	10	3.33	8	2.67
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	142	2.96	116	2.42
SITE 735	CARTER	2	9	4.50	6	3.00
	CRAWFORD	8	21	2.63	17	2.13
	DENT	5	13	2.60	12	2.40
	HOWELL	6	18	3.00	16	2.67
	IRON	5	14	2.80	10	2.00
	OREGON	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	PHELPS	9	23	2.56	20	2.22
	PULASKI	14	30	2.14	24	1.71
	SHANNON	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	TEXAS	5	13	2.60	6	1.20
	WAYNE	5	10	2.00	8	1.60
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	156	2.56	124	2.03
SITE 736	BATES	2	8	4.00	5	2.50
	BENTON	4	11	2.75	11	2.75
	CAMDEN	19	47	2.47	36	1.89
	DALLAS	6	16	2.67	13	2.17
	HENRY	9	23	2.56	19	2.11
	HICKORY	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	LACLEDE	20	48	2.40	36	1.80
	MILLER	9	22	2.44	15	1.67
	MONITEAU	2	5	2.50	3	1.50
	MORGAN	4	14	3.50	14	3.50
	POLK	7	29	4.14	23	3.29
	ST CLAIR	4	8	2.00	7	1.75
	WEBSTER	10	27	2.70	13	1.30
	* SITE TOTAL *	97	261	2.69	198	2.04

Table 3 (continued)
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 737	BARTON	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	CEDAR	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	DADE	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	JASPER	42	107	2.55	91	2.17
	MCDONALD	5	11	2.20	9	1.80
	NEWTON	18	51	2.83	37	2.06
	VERNON	7	23	3.29	19	2.71
	* SITE TOTAL *	78	205	2.63	167	2.14
SITE 738	BARRY	6	17	2.83	10	1.67
	CHRISTIAN	15	29	1.93	24	1.60
	DOUGLAS	7	24	3.43	24	3.43
	LAWRENCE	15	35	2.33	28	1.87
	OZARK	4	9	2.25	9	2.25
	STONE	10	31	3.10	26	2.60
	TANEY	30	65	2.17	58	1.93
	WRIGHT	15	42	2.80	33	2.20
	* SITE TOTAL *	102	252	2.47	212	2.08
SITE 739	GREENE	130	297	2.28	264	2.03
	* SITE TOTAL *	130	297	2.28	264	2.03
SITE 931	ADAIR	16	45	2.81	40	2.50
	CLARK	10	29	2.90	21	2.10
	KNOX	3	5	1.67	5	1.67
	LEWIS	12	34	2.83	27	2.25
	MACON	11	23	2.09	19	1.73
	SCHUYLER	2	3	1.50	2	1.00
	SCOTLAND	9	27	3.00	20	2.22
	SHELBY	6	22	3.67	17	2.83
	* SITE TOTAL *	69	188	2.72	151	2.19
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	18	44	2.44	37	2.06
	GASCONADE	5	17	3.40	16	3.20
	JEFFERSON	60	158	2.63	135	2.25
	OSAGE	5	10	2.00	7	1.40
	ST CHARLES	23	69	3.00	60	2.61
	* SITE TOTAL *	111	298	2.68	255	2.30
SITE 933	CASS	13	30	2.31	23	1.77
	COOPER	3	5	1.67	4	1.33
	JOHNSON	11	21	1.91	21	1.91
	PETTIS	29	63	2.17	55	1.90
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	119	2.13	103	1.84
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	12	2.40	7	1.40
	CLAY	25	63	2.52	47	1.88
	LAFAYETTE	5	13	2.60	6	1.20
	PLATTE	7	15	2.14	13	1.86
	RAY	3	10	3.33	6	2.00
	SALINE	4	5	1.25	5	1.25
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	118	2.41	84	1.71
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	12	3.00	11	2.75
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	29	65	2.24	49	1.69
	MADISON	13	33	2.54	20	1.54
	PERRY	12	29	2.42	21	1.75
	ST FRANCOIS	40	103	2.58	80	2.00
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	10	2.00	9	1.80
	SCOTT	7	17	2.43	13	1.86
	WASHINGTON	13	38	2.92	29	2.23
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	307	2.50	232	1.89
SITE 936	BUTLER	17	52	3.06	47	2.76
	DUNKLIN	6	18	3.00	14	2.33
	NEW MADRID	4	12	3.00	10	2.50
	PEMISCOT	6	25	4.17	15	2.50
	RIPLEY	9	31	3.44	26	2.89
	STODDARD	15	30	2.00	29	1.93
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	168	2.95	141	2.47
STATE TOTAL		1,724	4,495	2.61	3,500	2.03

Table 4
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	1	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	28	0	1	191	0	14	20	0	3	0	1	0	3	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	14	1	0	142	0	20	7	0	1	0	1	0	3	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	42	1	1	333	0	34	27	0	4	0	2	0	6	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	11
	BOONE	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	29
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	18
	COLE	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
	MARION	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	13
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	153	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	0	0	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	BUCHANAN	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LINN	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	48
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	DENT	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	IRON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	14
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	61
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	HENRY	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	MONTEAU	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	POLK	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97

Table 4 (continued)
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	DADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JASPER	0	1	0	0	37	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	42
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	73	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	78
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	15
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	STONE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	30
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	1	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	102
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	127	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	127	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	MACON	1	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	9
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	1	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	JEFFERSON	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	ST CHARLES	0	0	0	0	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	109	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	PETTIS	1	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	25
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	7
	RAY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	2	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	29
	MADISON	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	13
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	12
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	4	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	8	104	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	9
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	57
STATE TOTAL		2	47	1	10	1,535	0	36	28	0	11	2	45	0	7	1,724

Table 5
Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012
Families and Children Accepted by Month

	Families	At-risk Children	Not At-risk Children	Total Children
July 2011	122	242	67	309
August 2011	131	284	62	346
September 2011	158	325	84	409
October 2011	129	277	60	337
November 2011	158	324	125	449
December 2011	155	317	86	403
January 2012	138	280	72	352
February 2012	133	251	86	337
March 2012	173	358	118	476
April 2012	172	327	113	440
May 2012	150	298	73	371
June 2012	105	217	49	266
State Total	1,724	3,500	995	4,495

Table 6
Intensive In-home Services during FY 2012
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages

	Number	Percent
Child's Safety Cannot be Assured	1,287	39.21%
Missing	583	17.76%
No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	439	13.37%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	249	7.58%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	187	5.69%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	143	4.35%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	121	3.68%
Unable to Contact Family	89	2.71%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	62	1.88%
Court Not in Agreement	55	1.67%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	41	1.24%
Child Unwilling to Participate	20	0.60%
Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured	5	0.15%
Child is Physically Assaultive	1	0.03%
State Total	3,282	100.00%

Of the 1,724 families accepted into IIS during FY 2012, 1,619 families had a total of 2,209 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

Table 7
Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention
during FY 2012

	Number	Percent
Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,564	70.80%
Juvenile Court	203	9.18%
Adult Law Enforcement	197	8.91%
Dept of Mental Health	101	4.57%
Adult Criminal Court	88	3.98%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	56	2.53%
Total Contacts	2,209	100.00%

Average Duration of FY 2012 IIS Interventions is 5.03 Weeks.

Table 8
Duration of IIS Intervention by Site
for Families Accepted during FY 2012

	Total Weeks of Intervention									Site Total
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks	
SITE 731	8	4	11	14	37	20	24	1	10	129
SITE 732	10	20	25	23	38	57	196	42	39	450
SITE 733	5	12	10	7	34	30	57	6	3	164
SITE 734	1	2	3	6	11	6	18	1	0	48
SITE 735	3	1	2	4	7	11	20	5	8	61
SITE 736	10	9	8	6	21	19	22	0	2	97
SITE 737	2	1	3	2	4	15	47	3	1	78
SITE 738	3	4	2	6	7	33	44	3	0	102
SITE 739	5	10	5	3	12	19	68	5	3	130
SITE 931	4	3	4	4	10	15	28	1	0	69
SITE 932	1	5	3	7	7	11	65	8	4	111
SITE 933	0	5	2	6	6	13	10	8	6	56
SITE 934	3	3	2	4	7	13	12	3	2	49
SITE 935	2	10	10	2	8	37	52	0	2	123
SITE 936	6	4	3	4	9	19	11	0	1	57
State Total	63	93	93	98	218	318	674	86	81	1,724

Table 9
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	90	76.9%	26	22.2%	1	.9%	117
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	76.9%	26	22.2%	1	.9%	117
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	222	85.1%	33	12.6%	6	2.3%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	164	85.0%	22	11.4%	7	3.6%	193
	* SITE TOTAL *	386	85.0%	55	12.1%	13	2.9%	454
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	BOONE	21	77.8%	5	18.5%	1	3.7%	27
	CALLAWAY	13	68.4%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	19
	COLE	14	51.9%	13	48.1%	0	.0%	27
	HOWARD	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	LINCOLN	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MARION	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	13
	MONROE	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	PIKE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	13	65.0%	7	35.0%	0	.0%	20
	WARREN	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	115	70.6%	45	27.6%	3	1.8%	163
SITE 734	ATCHISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GRUNDY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	LIVINGSTON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	84.4%	7	15.6%	0	.0%	45
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	IRON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	PULASKI	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	SHANNON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WAYNE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	39	78.0%	11	22.0%	0	.0%	50
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CAMDEN	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	DALLAS	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	HICKORY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LACLEDE	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	19
	MILLER	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	MONITEAU	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	POLK	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	WEBSTER	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	66	73.3%	24	26.7%	0	.0%	90

Table 9 (continued)
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	32	78.0%	8	19.5%	1	2.4%	41
	MCDONALD	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	NEWTON	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	18
	VERNON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	79.2%	15	19.5%	1	1.3%	77
SITE 738	BARRY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	.0%	14
	DOUGLAS	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	LAWRENCE	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	OZARK	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	STONE	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	30
	WRIGHT	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	81	79.4%	20	19.6%	1	1.0%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	101	73.7%	32	23.4%	4	2.9%	137
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	73.7%	32	23.4%	4	2.9%	137
SITE 931	ADAIR	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	10
	KNOX	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	MACON	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	SCOTLAND	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	9
	SHELBY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	78.8%	13	19.7%	1	1.5%	66
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	0	.0%	18
	GASCONADE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	60	90.9%	5	7.6%	1	1.5%	66
	OSAGE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	18	90.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	100	87.0%	14	12.2%	1	.9%	115
SITE 933	CASS	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11
	COOPER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	JOHNSON	8	72.7%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	11
	PETTIS	24	77.4%	6	19.4%	1	3.2%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	43	76.8%	11	19.6%	2	3.6%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	22	88.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	PLATTE	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	8
	RAY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SALINE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	46	85.2%	6	11.1%	2	3.7%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	19	67.9%	9	32.1%	0	.0%	28
	MADISON	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	14
	PERRY	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	29	69.0%	12	28.6%	1	2.4%	42
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	70.9%	35	27.6%	2	1.6%	127
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	75.0%	5	25.0%	0	.0%	20
	DUNKLIN	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	NEW MADRID	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	RIPLEY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	STODDARD	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	53	81.5%	12	18.5%	0	.0%	65
STATE TOTAL		1,361	79.2%	326	19.0%	31	1.8%	1,718

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012.

Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2012

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30th may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1st. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2012

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
 - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
 - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
 - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
 - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
 - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
 - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
 - 16 Unable to Contact Family
 - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
 - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
 - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
 - 22 Court Not in Agreement
 - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
 - 24 Referral was Done in Error

Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012

- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2012.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of at-risk and not at-risk children from the accepted families.

Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2012

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)

Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status During FY 2012

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
 - Child Ran Away (12)
 - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
 - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
 - In Residential (17)
 - With Division of Youth Services (18)
 - In Juvenile Justice (19)
 - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
 - Can't Locate Family (11)
 - Information Not Available (21)

Intensive In-home Services

Heads of Household During FY 2012

Table 10
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	10	7.8%	64	49.6%	36	27.9%	11	8.5%	3	2.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	7.8%	64	49.6%	36	27.9%	11	8.5%	3	2.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	82	31.4%	93	35.6%	51	19.5%	27	10.3%	4	1.5%	4	1.5%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	7	3.7%	64	33.9%	75	39.7%	23	12.2%	15	7.9%	4	2.1%	1	.5%	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	1.6%	146	32.4%	168	37.3%	74	16.4%	42	9.3%	8	1.8%	5	1.1%	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	1	3.4%	10	34.5%	12	41.4%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	8	44.4%	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	COLE	1	3.6%	12	42.9%	9	32.1%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	28
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MARION	0	.0%	8	61.5%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PIKE	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	13	65.0%	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.2%	64	39.0%	59	36.0%	28	17.1%	8	4.9%	2	1.2%	1	.6%	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLINTON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	18	37.5%	20	41.7%	6	12.5%	4	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	48
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	IRON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	PULASKI	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	7	50.0%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	14
	SHANNON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.3%	19	31.1%	16	26.2%	13	21.3%	5	8.2%	6	9.8%	0	.0%	61
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	7	36.8%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	3	15.8%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	DALLAS	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HENRY	0	.0%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HICKORY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LACLEDE	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	10	50.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WEBSTER	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.1%	27	27.8%	39	40.2%	17	17.5%	8	8.2%	2	2.1%	0	.0%	97

Table 10 (continued)
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.4%	12	28.6%	21	50.0%	7	16.7%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	0	.0%	8	44.4%	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	28	35.9%	32	41.0%	14	17.9%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	78
SITE 738	BARRY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	6	40.0%	5	33.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	LAWRENCE	1	6.7%	3	20.0%	6	40.0%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	OZARK	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	2	6.7%	8	26.7%	15	50.0%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	4.9%	30	29.4%	45	44.1%	15	14.7%	5	4.9%	2	2.0%	0	.0%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	4	3.1%	52	40.0%	48	36.9%	18	13.8%	4	3.1%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.1%	52	40.0%	48	36.9%	18	13.8%	4	3.1%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	6.3%	5	31.3%	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CLARK	0	.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	KNOX	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	3	25.0%	6	50.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MACON	0	.0%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	4	44.4%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SHELBY	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.4%	23	33.3%	32	46.4%	9	13.0%	3	4.3%	1	1.4%	0	.0%	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	6	33.3%	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	18
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	2	3.3%	13	21.7%	21	35.0%	15	25.0%	8	13.3%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	60
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	3	13.0%	15	65.2%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.8%	24	21.6%	46	41.4%	25	22.5%	11	9.9%	3	2.7%	0	.0%	111
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	5	38.5%	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PETTIS	1	3.4%	7	24.1%	12	41.4%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.8%	16	28.6%	23	41.1%	10	17.9%	4	7.1%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	1	4.0%	9	36.0%	11	44.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.1%	19	38.8%	19	38.8%	6	12.2%	3	6.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	7	24.1%	14	48.3%	5	17.2%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	MADISON	0	.0%	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PERRY	0	.0%	2	16.7%	7	58.3%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	2	5.0%	14	35.0%	16	40.0%	5	12.5%	3	7.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTT	0	.0%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WASHINGTON	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	8	61.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.4%	38	30.9%	54	43.9%	20	16.3%	8	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	4	23.5%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	DUNKLIN	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	NEW MADRID	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STODDARD	0	.0%	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	5	33.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.5%	18	31.6%	22	38.6%	9	15.8%	4	7.0%	2	3.5%	0	.0%	57
STATE TOTAL		46	2.7%	586	34.0%	659	38.2%	275	16.0%	114	6.6%	36	2.1%	8	.5%	1,724

Table 11
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	113	87.6%	16	12.4%	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	113	87.6%	16	12.4%	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	234	89.7%	27	10.3%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	174	92.1%	15	7.9%	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	408	90.7%	42	9.3%	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	BOONE	23	79.3%	6	20.7%	29
	CALLAWAY	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	18
	COLE	22	78.6%	6	21.4%	28
	HOWARD	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LINCOLN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	MARION	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	MONROE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	PIKE	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	RALLS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	RANDOLPH	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	20
	WARREN	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	134	81.7%	30	18.3%	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	LINN	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	LIVINGSTON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	33	68.8%	15	31.3%	48
SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	DENT	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	IRON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	PULASKI	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	14
	SHANNON	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	TEXAS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	WAYNE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	67.2%	20	32.8%	61
SITE 736	BATES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	BENTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	19
	DALLAS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	HENRY	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9
	HICKORY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LACLEDE	18	90.0%	2	10.0%	20
	MILLER	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	MONITEAU	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	WEBSTER	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	81	83.5%	16	16.5%	97

Table 11 (continued)
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	42
	MCDONALD	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	NEWTON	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18
	VERNON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	78.2%	17	21.8%	78
SITE 738	BARRY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	CHRISTIAN	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	DOUGLAS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	LAWRENCE	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	OZARK	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	STONE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	TANEY	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	30
	WRIGHT	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	80.4%	20	19.6%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	108	83.1%	22	16.9%	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	83.1%	22	16.9%	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	16
	CLARK	10	100.0%	0	.0%	10
	KNOX	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	MACON	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	SCHUYLER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	SHELBY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	81.2%	13	18.8%	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	18
	GASCONADE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	41	68.3%	19	31.7%	60
	OSAGE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	74.8%	28	25.2%	111
SITE 933	CASS	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	COOPER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	JOHNSON	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	PETTIS	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	46	82.1%	10	17.9%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	23	92.0%	2	8.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	PLATTE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	91.8%	4	8.2%	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	29
	MADISON	13	100.0%	0	.0%	13
	PERRY	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	33	82.5%	7	17.5%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SCOTT	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	WASHINGTON	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	105	85.4%	18	14.6%	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	17
	DUNKLIN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	NEW MADRID	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	RIPLEY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	STODDARD	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	84.2%	9	15.8%	57
STATE TOTAL		1,444	83.8%	280	16.2%	1,724

Table 12
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	65	50.4%	54	41.9%	1	.8%	1	.8%
	* SITE TOTAL *	65	50.4%	54	41.9%	1	.8%	1	.8%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	98	37.5%	150	57.5%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	30	15.9%	147	77.8%	0	.0%	4	2.1%
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	28.4%	297	66.0%	0	.0%	5	1.1%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	19	65.5%	6	20.7%	0	.0%	1	3.4%
	CALLAWAY	15	83.3%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	18	64.3%	9	32.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINCOLN	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	19	95.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	133	81.1%	19	11.6%	0	.0%	1	.6%
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	7	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	91.8%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	97.9%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	1	.8%	7	5.4%	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.8%	7	5.4%	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	.4%	1	.4%	10	3.8%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	4.2%	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.2%	1	.2%	18	4.0%	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	11
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	3.4%	2	6.9%	29
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	11.1%	18
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	28
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	20
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.2%	9	5.5%	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	6.3%	48
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	9
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	6.6%	61
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	97

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	41	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	76	97.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	14	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	99.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	120	92.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	120	92.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	8	88.9%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	97.1%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	57	95.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	19	82.6%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	103	92.8%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	27	93.1%	2	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	51	91.1%	3	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	21	84.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	42	85.7%	6	12.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	86.2%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	39	97.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	1	14.3%
	WASHINGTON	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	113	91.9%	6	4.9%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 936	BUTLER	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	13	86.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	91.2%	2	3.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		1,247	72.3%	396	23.0%	3	.2%	8	.5%

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	42
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	78
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	1	.8%	0	.0%	4	3.1%	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	0	.0%	4	3.1%	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.0%	60
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	5.4%	111
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.5%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.4%	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	3.5%	1	1.8%	57
STATE TOTAL		3	.2%	6	.3%	61	3.5%	1,724

Table 13
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	27	20.9%	8	6.2%	6	4.7%	0	.0%	1	.8%
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	20.9%	8	6.2%	6	4.7%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	30	11.5%	25	9.6%	16	6.1%	1	.4%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	30	15.9%	22	11.6%	6	3.2%	0	.0%	1	.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	13.3%	47	10.4%	22	4.9%	1	.2%	1	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	7	24.1%	6	20.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	5	17.9%	5	17.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	0	.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	14.0%	28	17.1%	4	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	10.4%	3	6.3%	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHelps	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	11.5%	9	14.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	3	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	13.4%	9	9.3%	2	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	3	2.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	14	10.9%	65	50.4%	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.3%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	14	10.9%	65	50.4%	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2	.8%	2	.8%	2	.8%	46	17.6%	137	52.5%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	3	1.6%	2	1.1%	23	12.2%	102	54.0%	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.4%	5	1.1%	4	.9%	69	15.3%	239	53.1%	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	11
	BOONE	0	.0%	2	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	48.3%	29
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	8	44.4%	18
	COLE	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	14	50.0%	28
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	9
	MARION	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	9	69.2%	13
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	6
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	7
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.0%	14	70.0%	20
	WARREN	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.8%	5	3.0%	2	1.2%	15	9.1%	84	51.2%	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	ATCHISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	13
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	CHARITON	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	33	68.8%	48
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	DENT	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	4	66.7%	6
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	9
	PULASKI	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	2	14.3%	5	35.7%	14
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	5
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.3%	7	11.5%	2	3.3%	6	9.8%	28	45.9%	61
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CAMDEN	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	12	63.2%	19
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	9
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	65.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	66.7%	9
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	POLK	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	0	.0%	4	4.1%	59	60.8%	97

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	6	14.3%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	10.3%	13	16.7%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%
	DOUGLAS	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	4	13.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	1	3.3%
	WRIGHT	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	13.7%	7	6.9%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	2	2.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	23	17.7%	10	7.7%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	17.7%	10	7.7%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	3	25.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	17.4%	13	18.8%	5	7.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	8	13.3%	8	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	11.7%	11	9.9%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%
	PETTIS	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	8.9%	6	10.7%	0	.0%	1	1.8%	2	3.6%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	2	28.6%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	10.2%	3	6.1%	2	4.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	6.9%	2	6.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	3	23.1%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	9	22.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.5%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	14.6%	5	4.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	PEMISCOT	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	10.5%	4	7.0%	2	3.5%	0	.0%	2	3.5%
STATE TOTAL		239	13.9%	176	10.2%	54	3.1%	5	.3%	10	.6%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	7	16.7%	21	50.0%	42
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	NEWTON	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	11.1%	9	50.0%	18
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	2	2.6%	0	.0%	10	12.8%	41	52.6%	78
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	7
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	10	66.7%	15
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	STONE	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	6	60.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	3	10.0%	17	56.7%	30
	WRIGHT	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	9	60.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.0%	7	6.9%	1	1.0%	12	11.8%	56	54.9%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	1	.8%	6	4.6%	0	.0%	7	5.4%	78	60.0%	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	6	4.6%	0	.0%	7	5.4%	78	60.0%	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	50.0%	16
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	10
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	2	16.7%	5	41.7%	12
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	66.7%	9
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	0	.0%	4	5.8%	32	46.4%	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	11	61.1%	18
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	1	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	6.7%	39	65.0%	60
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	2	8.7%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	14	60.9%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.6%	1	.9%	1	.9%	10	9.0%	69	62.2%	111
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	61.5%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	72.7%	11
	PETTS	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.9%	19	65.5%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	3	5.4%	36	64.3%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	5
	CLAY	0	.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	3	12.0%	16	64.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	5
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	7
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	12.2%	0	.0%	3	6.1%	30	61.2%	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	3	10.3%	19	65.5%	29
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	13
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	7	17.5%	19	47.5%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	WASHINGTON	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	6	4.9%	1	.8%	20	16.3%	70	56.9%	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	64.7%	17
	DUNKLIN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	9
	STODDARD	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	3	20.0%	7	46.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	7.0%	3	5.3%	0	.0%	4	7.0%	32	56.1%	57
STATE TOTAL		30	1.7%	64	3.7%	12	.7%	182	10.6%	952	55.2%	1,724

Table 14
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	43	33.3%	30	23.3%	23	17.8%	16	12.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	43	33.3%	30	23.3%	23	17.8%	16	12.4%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	83	31.8%	29	11.1%	35	13.4%	42	16.1%
	ST LOUIS CITY	74	39.2%	48	25.4%	22	11.6%	22	11.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	157	34.9%	77	17.1%	57	12.7%	64	14.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	63.6%	1	9.1%
	BOONE	5	17.2%	5	17.2%	8	27.6%	3	10.3%
	CALLAWAY	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	6	33.3%	1	5.6%
	COLE	13	46.4%	9	32.1%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%
	LINCOLN	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%
	PIKE	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%
	RANDOLPH	7	35.0%	2	10.0%	8	40.0%	3	15.0%
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	37	22.6%	28	17.1%	51	31.1%	17	10.4%
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	6	46.2%	0	.0%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%
	CALDWELL	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%
	CLINTON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	1	12.5%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%
	LIVINGSTON	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	31.3%	4	8.3%	10	20.8%	8	16.7%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%
	DENT	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%
	HOWELL	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%
	PULASKI	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	1	7.1%
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.9%	8	13.1%	18	29.5%	14	23.0%
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	6	31.6%	7	36.8%	3	15.8%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	LACLEDE	7	35.0%	7	35.0%	5	25.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	WEBSTER	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	9.3%	30	30.9%	30	30.9%	12	12.4%

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	12	9.3%	1	.8%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	129
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	9.3%	1	.8%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	129
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	35	13.4%	21	8.0%	16	6.1%	0	.0%	261
	ST LOUIS CITY	13	6.9%	7	3.7%	3	1.6%	0	.0%	189
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	10.7%	28	6.2%	19	4.2%	0	.0%	450
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	2	6.9%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	29
	CALLAWAY	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	18
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MARION	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONTGOMERY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	WARREN	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	8.5%	9	5.5%	8	4.9%	0	.0%	164
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLINTON	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.2%	3	6.3%	6	12.5%	0	.0%	48
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CRAWFORD	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PULASKI	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	TEXAS	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	WAYNE	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	23.0%	3	4.9%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	61
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	HENRY	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MONITEAU	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WEBSTER	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	9.3%	7	7.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	97

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	6	14.3%	9	21.4%	6	14.3%	11	26.2%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%
	NEWTON	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%
	VERNON	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	14.1%	17	21.8%	13	16.7%	18	23.1%
SITE 738	BARRY	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	3	20.0%
	DOUGLAS	2	28.6%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%
	LAWRENCE	2	13.3%	8	53.3%	3	20.0%	1	6.7%
	OZARK	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
	TANEY	9	30.0%	14	46.7%	4	13.3%	3	10.0%
	WRIGHT	8	53.3%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	3	20.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	34.3%	28	27.5%	19	18.6%	13	12.7%
SITE 739	GREENE	47	36.2%	19	14.6%	27	20.8%	19	14.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	36.2%	19	14.6%	27	20.8%	19	14.6%
SITE 931	ADAIR	8	50.0%	4	25.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%
	CLARK	4	40.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
	KNOX	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%
	MACON	5	45.5%	0	.0%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%
	SHELBY	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	39.1%	8	11.6%	15	21.7%	5	7.2%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	6	33.3%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%
	JEFFERSON	7	11.7%	4	6.7%	4	6.7%	13	21.7%
	OSAGE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%
	ST CHARLES	3	13.0%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	6	26.1%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	11.7%	11	9.9%	11	9.9%	28	25.2%
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	4	36.4%
	PETTIS	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%	5	17.2%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	17.9%	8	14.3%	6	10.7%	12	21.4%
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	5	20.0%	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	6	24.0%
	LAFAYETTE	3	60.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%
	RAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	SALINE	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	28.6%	8	16.3%	7	14.3%	9	18.4%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	10	34.5%	5	17.2%
	MADISON	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%
	PERRY	0	.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%
	ST FRANCOIS	9	22.5%	9	22.5%	5	12.5%	9	22.5%
	STE GENEVIEVE	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%
	SCOTT	3	42.9%	0	.0%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%
	WASHINGTON	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	5	38.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	19.5%	20	16.3%	24	19.5%	30	24.4%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	8	47.1%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	6	66.7%
	STODDARD	1	6.7%	0	.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.8%	4	7.0%	20	35.1%	25	43.9%
STATE TOTAL		446	25.9%	300	17.4%	331	19.2%	290	16.8%

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2012

		\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE	COUNTY	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	8	19.0%	2	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	42
	MCDONALD	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	NEWTON	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	16.7%	6	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	LAWRENCE	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.9%	0	.0%	3	2.9%	0	.0%	102
SITE 739	GREENE	12	9.2%	5	3.8%	1	.8%	0	.0%	130
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	9.2%	5	3.8%	1	.8%	0	.0%	130
SITE 931	ADAIR	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CLARK	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MACON	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	14.5%	4	5.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	69
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	18
	GASCONADE	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JEFFERSON	10	16.7%	10	16.7%	12	20.0%	0	.0%	60
	OSAGE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	2	8.7%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	16.2%	15	13.5%	15	13.5%	0	.0%	111
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	11
	PETTIS	4	13.8%	3	10.3%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	12.5%	7	12.5%	6	10.7%	0	.0%	56
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	25
	LAFAYETTE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	12.2%	3	6.1%	2	4.1%	0	.0%	49
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	0	.0%	29
	MADISON	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	PERRY	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	ST FRANCOIS	5	12.5%	0	.0%	3	7.5%	0	.0%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WASHINGTON	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	8.9%	3	2.4%	11	8.9%	0	.0%	123
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DUNKLIN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STODDARD	4	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	12.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	57
STATE TOTAL		187	10.8%	94	5.5%	76	4.4%	0	.0%	1,724

Table 15
Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012

	Number	Percent
Parenting Skills Problems	1,438	83.4%
Parent/Child Conflict	810	47.0%
Communication	758	44.0%
Mental Health Problems	644	37.4%
Child Neglect	571	33.1%
Emotional Problems	446	25.9%
Severe Financial Problems	327	19.0%
Physical Abuse	288	16.7%
Transportation	251	14.6%
Drug Abuse	228	13.2%
Marital Conflict	183	10.6%
School Problems	171	9.9%
Medical Illness/Disability	164	9.5%
Physical Violence	133	7.7%
Homelessness	121	7.0%
Shelter	114	6.6%
Alcohol Abuse	98	5.7%
Developmental Disability	71	4.1%
Delinquent Behavior	58	3.4%
Sexual Abuse	48	2.8%
Criminal Behavior	45	2.6%
Pregnancy	31	1.8%
Suicide Attempts	19	1.1%
Runaway	10	.6%
Prostitution	1	.1%

Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

At-risk Children During FY 2012

Table 16
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		>= 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	44	14.9%	100	33.8%	106	35.8%	46	15.5%	296
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	14.9%	100	33.8%	106	35.8%	46	15.5%	296
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	24	4.9%	117	24.0%	210	43.1%	136	27.9%	487
	ST LOUIS CITY	44	12.4%	110	30.9%	137	38.5%	65	18.3%	356
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	8.1%	227	26.9%	347	41.2%	201	23.8%	843
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	3	21.4%	5	35.7%	6	42.9%	14
	BOONE	5	7.4%	20	29.4%	29	42.6%	14	20.6%	68
	CALLAWAY	3	8.6%	13	37.1%	15	42.9%	4	11.4%	35
	COLE	8	14.5%	19	34.5%	19	34.5%	9	16.4%	55
	HOWARD	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	8
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	7	36.8%	4	21.1%	8	42.1%	19
	MARION	5	19.2%	6	23.1%	12	46.2%	3	11.5%	26
	MONROE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	7
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	100.0%	8
	PIKE	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RALLS	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%	13
	RANDOLPH	6	16.2%	21	56.8%	6	16.2%	4	10.8%	37
	WARREN	1	7.1%	0	.0%	6	42.9%	7	50.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	9.6%	103	32.8%	111	35.4%	70	22.3%	314
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	4	18.2%	11	50.0%	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	22
	CALDWELL	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	12
	CHARITON	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	11
	CLINTON	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	10
	DE KALB	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	1	4.3%	6	26.1%	12	52.2%	4	17.4%	23
	LIVINGSTON	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	7.8%	34	29.3%	53	45.7%	20	17.2%	116
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	6
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	5	29.4%	5	29.4%	7	41.2%	17
	DENT	1	8.3%	6	50.0%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	12
	HOWELL	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	4	25.0%	16
	IRON	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	10
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	2	10.0%	5	25.0%	7	35.0%	6	30.0%	20
	PULASKI	4	16.7%	6	25.0%	6	25.0%	8	33.3%	24
	SHANNON	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	TEXAS	0	.0%	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	8.1%	39	31.5%	42	33.9%	33	26.6%	124
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	BENTON	0	.0%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	11
	CAMDEN	4	11.1%	12	33.3%	17	47.2%	3	8.3%	36
	DALLAS	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	4	30.8%	13
	HENRY	2	10.5%	5	26.3%	10	52.6%	2	10.5%	19
	HICKORY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	4	11.1%	12	33.3%	11	30.6%	9	25.0%	36
	MILLER	0	.0%	4	26.7%	5	33.3%	6	40.0%	15
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	14
	POLK	0	.0%	3	13.0%	11	47.8%	9	39.1%	23
	ST CLAIR	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	7
	WEBSTER	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	8.1%	60	30.3%	78	39.4%	44	22.2%	198

Table 16 (continued)
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		>= 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	10	11.0%	22	24.2%	36	39.6%	23	25.3%	91
	MCDONALD	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	NEWTON	2	5.4%	19	51.4%	13	35.1%	3	8.1%	37
	VERNON	0	.0%	4	21.1%	11	57.9%	4	21.1%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	9.0%	53	31.7%	66	39.5%	33	19.8%	167
SITE 738	BARRY	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	3	12.5%	5	20.8%	10	41.7%	6	25.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	2	8.3%	6	25.0%	12	50.0%	4	16.7%	24
	LAWRENCE	3	10.7%	8	28.6%	11	39.3%	6	21.4%	28
	OZARK	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9
	STONE	3	11.5%	12	46.2%	9	34.6%	2	7.7%	26
	TANEY	8	13.8%	17	29.3%	19	32.8%	14	24.1%	58
	WRIGHT	4	12.1%	11	33.3%	13	39.4%	5	15.2%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	12.7%	66	31.1%	79	37.3%	40	18.9%	212
SITE 739	GREENE	38	14.4%	78	29.5%	96	36.4%	52	19.7%	264
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	14.4%	78	29.5%	96	36.4%	52	19.7%	264
SITE 931	ADAIR	5	12.5%	18	45.0%	14	35.0%	3	7.5%	40
	CLARK	0	.0%	3	14.3%	14	66.7%	4	19.0%	21
	KNOX	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	8	29.6%	7	25.9%	12	44.4%	27
	MACON	2	10.5%	6	31.6%	8	42.1%	3	15.8%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	9	45.0%	3	15.0%	20
	SHELBY	0	.0%	8	47.1%	7	41.2%	2	11.8%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	6.6%	51	33.8%	60	39.7%	30	19.9%	151
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	3	8.1%	10	27.0%	19	51.4%	5	13.5%	37
	GASCONADE	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	6	37.5%	3	18.8%	16
	JEFFERSON	9	6.7%	34	25.2%	55	40.7%	37	27.4%	135
	OSAGE	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7
	ST CHARLES	4	6.7%	19	31.7%	24	40.0%	13	21.7%	60
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	7.5%	70	27.5%	107	42.0%	59	23.1%	255
SITE 933	CASS	5	21.7%	8	34.8%	8	34.8%	2	8.7%	23
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	4
	JOHNSON	2	9.5%	5	23.8%	9	42.9%	5	23.8%	21
	PETTIS	2	3.6%	19	34.5%	25	45.5%	9	16.4%	55
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	8.7%	33	32.0%	44	42.7%	17	16.5%	103
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	CLAY	5	10.6%	19	40.4%	21	44.7%	2	4.3%	47
	LAFAYETTE	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	PLATTE	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	13
	RAY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	SALINE	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	14.3%	31	36.9%	34	40.5%	7	8.3%	84
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	4.1%	10	20.4%	21	42.9%	16	32.7%	49
	MADISON	2	10.0%	7	35.0%	5	25.0%	6	30.0%	20
	PERRY	0	.0%	5	23.8%	9	42.9%	7	33.3%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	8	10.0%	29	36.3%	29	36.3%	14	17.5%	80
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	9
	SCOTT	3	23.1%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	13
	WASHINGTON	4	13.8%	5	17.2%	17	58.6%	3	10.3%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	9.9%	65	28.0%	92	39.7%	52	22.4%	232
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	4.3%	16	34.0%	21	44.7%	8	17.0%	47
	DUNKLIN	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	6	42.9%	1	7.1%	14
	NEW MADRID	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	3	20.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	15
	RIPLEY	1	3.8%	8	30.8%	14	53.8%	3	11.5%	26
	STODDARD	0	.0%	7	24.1%	12	41.4%	10	34.5%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	5.7%	45	31.9%	61	43.3%	27	19.1%	141
STATE TOTAL		338	9.7%	1,055	30.1%	1,376	39.3%	731	20.9%	3,500

Table 17
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	128	43.2%	168	56.8%	296
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	43.2%	168	56.8%	296
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	228	46.8%	259	53.2%	487
	ST LOUIS CITY	178	50.0%	178	50.0%	356
	* SITE TOTAL *	406	48.2%	437	51.8%	843
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	5	35.7%	9	64.3%	14
	BOONE	33	48.5%	35	51.5%	68
	CALLAWAY	15	42.9%	20	57.1%	35
	COLE	27	49.1%	28	50.9%	55
	HOWARD	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	8
	LINCOLN	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
	MARION	10	38.5%	16	61.5%	26
	MONROE	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	MONTGOMERY	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	PIKE	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
	RALLS	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	13
	RANDOLPH	25	67.6%	12	32.4%	37
	WARREN	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	150	47.8%	164	52.2%	314
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	ATCHISON	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	BUCHANAN	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	22
	CALDWELL	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	12
	CHARITON	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11
	CLINTON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	DE KALB	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	23
	LIVINGSTON	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11
	PUTNAM	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	49.1%	59	50.9%	116
SITE 735	CARTER	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	CRAWFORD	3	17.6%	14	82.4%	17
	DENT	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	12
	HOWELL	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	16
	IRON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	15	75.0%	5	25.0%	20
	PULASKI	12	50.0%	12	50.0%	24
	SHANNON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	TEXAS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	WAYNE	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	59	47.6%	65	52.4%	124
SITE 736	BATES	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	BENTON	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	CAMDEN	24	66.7%	12	33.3%	36
	DALLAS	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	13
	HENRY	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	19
	HICKORY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	LACLEDE	12	33.3%	24	66.7%	36
	MILLER	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	15
	MONTEAU	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	MORGAN	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	14
	POLK	13	56.5%	10	43.5%	23
	ST CLAIR	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	WEBSTER	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	94	47.5%	104	52.5%	198

Table 17 (continued)
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	JASPER	40	44.0%	51	56.0%	91
	MCDONALD	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9
	NEWTON	18	48.6%	19	51.4%	37
	VERNON	7	36.8%	12	63.2%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	75	44.9%	92	55.1%	167
SITE 738	BARRY	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	11	45.8%	13	54.2%	24
	DOUGLAS	8	33.3%	16	66.7%	24
	LAWRENCE	16	57.1%	12	42.9%	28
	OZARK	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	STONE	11	42.3%	15	57.7%	26
	TANEY	28	48.3%	30	51.7%	58
	WRIGHT	17	51.5%	16	48.5%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	47.6%	111	52.4%	212
SITE 739	GREENE	129	48.9%	135	51.1%	264
	* SITE TOTAL *	129	48.9%	135	51.1%	264
SITE 931	ADAIR	18	45.0%	22	55.0%	40
	CLARK	6	28.6%	15	71.4%	21
	KNOX	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	LEWIS	10	37.0%	17	63.0%	27
	MACON	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	19
	SCHUYLER	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20
	SHELBY	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	40.4%	90	59.6%	151
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	18	48.6%	19	51.4%	37
	GASCONADE	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	16
	JEFFERSON	71	52.6%	64	47.4%	135
	OSAGE	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	ST CHARLES	22	36.7%	38	63.3%	60
	* SITE TOTAL *	120	47.1%	135	52.9%	255
SITE 933	CASS	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	23
	COOPER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	JOHNSON	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	21
	PETTIS	30	54.5%	25	45.5%	55
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	52.4%	49	47.6%	103
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	CLAY	19	40.4%	28	59.6%	47
	LAFAYETTE	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	PLATTE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	RAY	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SALINE	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	45.2%	46	54.8%	84
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	21	42.9%	28	57.1%	49
	MADISON	13	65.0%	7	35.0%	20
	PERRY	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	32	40.0%	48	60.0%	80
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	SCOTT	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	13
	WASHINGTON	12	41.4%	17	58.6%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	105	45.3%	127	54.7%	232
SITE 936	BUTLER	26	55.3%	21	44.7%	47
	DUNKLIN	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	5	33.3%	10	66.7%	15
	RIPLEY	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	26
	STODDARD	13	44.8%	16	55.2%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	54.6%	64	45.4%	141
STATE TOTAL		1,654	47.3%	1,846	52.7%	3,500

Table 18
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	136	45.9%	139	47.0%	1	.3%	2	.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	136	45.9%	139	47.0%	1	.3%	2	.7%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	147	30.2%	331	68.0%	1	.2%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	35	9.8%	296	83.1%	0	.0%	5	1.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	182	21.6%	627	74.4%	1	.1%	5	.6%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	8	57.1%	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	46	67.6%	14	20.6%	0	.0%	2	2.9%
	CALLAWAY	30	85.7%	4	11.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	30	54.5%	24	43.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINCOLN	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	34	91.9%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	244	77.7%	55	17.5%	0	.0%	2	.6%
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	20	90.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	112	96.6%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	22	91.7%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	121	97.6%	2	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	34	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	34	94.4%	2	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	193	97.5%	2	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	12	4.1%	6	2.0%	296
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	12	4.1%	6	2.0%	296
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	.2%	3	.6%	4	.8%	487
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	7	2.0%	13	3.7%	356
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.1%	10	1.2%	17	2.0%	843
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	BOONE	0	.0%	4	5.9%	2	2.9%	68
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	35
	COLE	0	.0%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	55
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	37
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	8	2.5%	5	1.6%	314
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	22
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.7%	116
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	24
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.8%	124
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	36
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.5%	198

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	87	95.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	35	94.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	161	96.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	9	90.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	23	95.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	28	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	22	84.6%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	0	.0%
	TANEY	57	98.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	33	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	205	96.7%	1	.5%	3	1.4%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	249	94.3%	5	1.9%	1	.4%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	249	94.3%	5	1.9%	1	.4%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	37	92.5%	2	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	21	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	26	96.3%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	96.7%	3	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	37	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	127	94.1%	4	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	52	86.7%	4	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	239	93.7%	8	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	21	91.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	18	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	52	94.5%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	92	89.3%	5	4.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	38	80.9%	7	14.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	9	69.2%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	83.3%	10	11.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	40	81.6%	9	18.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	78	97.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	4	30.8%	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	207	89.2%	20	8.6%	1	.4%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	47	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	11	73.3%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	26	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	25	86.2%	4	13.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	90.8%	10	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		2,485	71.0%	889	25.4%	7	.2%	9	.3%

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	0	.0%	2	2.2%	2	2.2%	91
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEWTON	0	.0%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	37
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	4	2.4%	2	1.2%	167
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	58
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.5%	2	.9%	212
SITE 739	GREENE	2	.8%	1	.4%	6	2.3%	264
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.8%	1	.4%	6	2.3%	264
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	40
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MACON	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.3%	0	.0%	151
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	135
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	6.7%	60
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	.8%	6	2.4%	255
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	23
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	JOHNSON	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	21
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.8%	55
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.0%	2	1.9%	3	2.9%	103
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	0	.0%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	47
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	84
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.5%	80
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.4%	3	1.3%	232
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	15
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	2.1%	0	.0%	141
STATE TOTAL		4	.1%	48	1.4%	58	1.7%	3,500

Table 19
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	285	96.3%	3	1.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%	1	.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	285	96.3%	3	1.0%	2	.7%	0	.0%	1	.3%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	480	98.6%	4	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	345	96.9%	2	.6%	0	.0%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	825	97.9%	6	.7%	0	.0%	1	.1%	0	.0%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	61	89.7%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	55	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINCOLN	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
	MARION	25	96.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
	MONROE	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	36	97.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	12	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	295	93.9%	3	1.0%	0	.0%	4	1.3%	1	.3%
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	114	98.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	17	85.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	7	87.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	118	95.2%	2	1.6%	2	1.6%	1	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	34	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	33	91.7%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	14	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	21	91.3%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	186	93.9%	3	1.5%	1	.5%	2	1.0%	0	.0%

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	4	1.4%	296
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.3%	4	1.4%	296
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	.2%	0	.0%	2	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	487
	ST LOUIS CITY	3	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	1.4%	356
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	.5%	0	.0%	2	.2%	0	.0%	5	.6%	843
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	8.8%	68
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	55
	HOWARD	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	3.2%	314
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	116
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	124
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	36
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	2	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	23
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.0%	198

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	90	98.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	32	86.5%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0	.0%
	VERNON	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	160	95.8%	0	.0%	1	.6%	1	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	23	95.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	24	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	28	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	26	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	52	89.7%	1	1.7%	2	3.4%	1	1.7%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	30	90.9%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	202	95.3%	2	.9%	3	1.4%	1	.5%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	260	98.5%	1	.4%	0	.0%	2	.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	260	98.5%	1	.4%	0	.0%	2	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	37	92.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	1	2.5%	0	.0%
	CLARK	19	90.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	26	96.3%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	144	95.4%	1	.7%	1	.7%	1	.7%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	36	97.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	131	97.0%	0	.0%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	60	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	250	98.0%	0	.0%	2	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	21	91.3%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	21	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	55	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	101	98.1%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	45	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	46	93.9%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	80	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	227	97.8%	2	.9%	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	44	93.6%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	7	70.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	25	96.2%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	134	95.0%	1	.7%	3	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		3,383	96.7%	25	.7%	17	.5%	14	.4%	2	.1%

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	1	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	91
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEWTON	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.4%	37
	VERNON	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.2%	167
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	24
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	58
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%	3	1.4%	212
SITE 739	GREENE	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	264
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	264
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	CLARK	2	9.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	SHELBY	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	151
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JEFFERSON	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.7%	135
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	60
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	255
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	55
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	103
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	0	.0%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	47
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	84
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	49
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	80
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	232
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	2.1%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.4%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	141
STATE TOTAL		20	.6%	3	.1%	3	.1%	1	.0%	32	.9%	3,500

Table 20
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	12	4.1%	185	62.5%	6	2.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	12	4.1%	185	62.5%	6	2.0%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	10	2.1%	64	13.1%	246	50.5%	21	4.3%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	4	1.1%	20	5.6%	192	53.9%	4	1.1%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	1.7%	84	10.0%	438	52.0%	25	3.0%	0	.0%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	1	1.5%	3	4.4%	62	91.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	33	94.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	54	98.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	2	10.5%	17	89.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	0	.0%	2	7.7%	24	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	7.7%	0	.0%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	1	2.7%	2	5.4%	30	81.1%	2	5.4%	0	.0%
	WARREN	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	1.9%	19	6.1%	264	84.1%	2	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	3	13.6%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	9.1%	0	.0%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	91.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.9%	3	2.6%	96	82.8%	1	.9%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	4	23.5%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	2	20.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	1	5.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	0	.0%	6	25.0%	12	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	16	12.9%	69	55.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	1	2.8%	0	.0%	35	97.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	5.3%	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	2	5.6%	34	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	5	2.5%	191	96.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	73	24.7%	1	.3%	1	.3%	18	6.1%	296
	* SITE TOTAL *	73	24.7%	1	.3%	1	.3%	18	6.1%	296
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	134	27.5%	10	2.1%	1	.2%	1	.2%	487
	ST LOUIS CITY	123	34.6%	13	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	356
	* SITE TOTAL *	257	30.5%	23	2.7%	1	.1%	1	.1%	843
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	BOONE	2	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	68
	CALLAWAY	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	COLE	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	55
	HOWARD	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	MONROE	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MONTGOMERY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	6	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RANDOLPH	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	6.4%	3	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	314
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	CHARITON	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	5	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	PUTNAM	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	12.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	116
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CRAWFORD	6	35.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	DENT	5	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HOWELL	8	50.0%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	IRON	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PULASKI	5	20.8%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	TEXAS	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	25.8%	7	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	124
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	POLK	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	198

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	79	86.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	36	97.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	136	81.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	2	7.1%	6	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	26	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	1.7%	30	51.7%	4	6.9%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	3	1.4%	124	58.5%	4	1.9%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	10	3.8%	233	88.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	10	3.8%	233	88.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	2.5%	18	45.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	1	4.8%	17	81.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	1	3.7%	0	.0%	10	37.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	1	5.3%	9	47.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	1	5.0%	0	.0%	13	65.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.3%	5	3.3%	71	47.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	2.7%	34	91.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	4	3.0%	98	72.6%	2	1.5%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	9	15.0%	41	68.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	15	5.9%	193	75.7%	2	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	1	4.3%	3	13.0%	12	52.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	3	14.3%	16	76.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	53	96.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.0%	6	5.8%	85	82.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	2	4.3%	24	51.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.4%	5	6.0%	50	59.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	9.1%	8	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	4.1%	3	6.1%	42	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	5.0%	0	.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	5	6.3%	58	72.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	2	6.9%	26	89.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.3%	11	4.7%	191	82.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	2.1%	42	89.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	73.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	88.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	72.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.7%	113	80.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		31	.9%	195	5.6%	2,439	69.7%	40	1.1%	0	.0%

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2012

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DADE	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	9	9.9%	3	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	91
	MCDONALD	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEWTON	0	.0%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	VERNON	10	52.6%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	13.8%	6	3.6%	0	.0%	2	1.2%	167
SITE 738	BARRY	7	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	5	20.8%	3	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	10	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.3%	24
	LAWRENCE	19	67.9%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	TANEY	17	29.3%	5	8.6%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	58
	WRIGHT	10	30.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	32.1%	9	4.2%	1	.5%	2	.9%	212
SITE 739	GREENE	18	6.8%	1	.4%	0	.0%	2	.8%	264
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	6.8%	1	.4%	0	.0%	2	.8%	264
SITE 931	ADAIR	21	52.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	CLARK	2	9.5%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	21
	KNOX	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	16	59.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MACON	9	47.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	20.0%	20
	SHELBY	12	70.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	67	44.4%	1	.7%	1	.7%	4	2.6%	151
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	JEFFERSON	28	20.7%	3	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	135
	OSAGE	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	10	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	60
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	16.1%	4	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	255
SITE 933	CASS	7	30.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	21
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	55
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	6.8%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	3	2.9%	103
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLAY	21	44.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	LAFAYETTE	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PLATTE	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SALINE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	32.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	84
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	2	4.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	MADISON	0	.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	ST FRANCOIS	5	6.3%	8	10.0%	4	5.0%	0	.0%	80
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	13
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	3.0%	13	5.6%	5	2.2%	2	.9%	232
SITE 936	BUTLER	4	8.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	DUNKLIN	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	4	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	RIPLEY	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	STODDARD	8	27.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	19.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	141
STATE TOTAL		682	19.5%	69	2.0%	10	.3%	34	1.0%	3,500

Table 21
At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012

	Number	Percent
Parent/Child Conflict	1,425	40.7%
Communication	1,155	33.0%
Child Neglect	1,110	31.7%
Parenting Skills Problems	929	26.5%
Mental Health Problems	776	22.2%
Emotional Problems	753	21.5%
School Problems	669	19.1%
Physical Abuse	406	11.6%
Delinquent Behavior	290	8.3%
Physical Violence	217	6.2%
Homelessness	181	5.2%
Medical Illness/Disability	176	5.0%
Shelter	157	4.5%
Severe Financial Problems	150	4.3%
Developmental Disability	143	4.1%
Sexual Abuse	120	3.4%
Drug Abuse	102	2.9%
Transportation	100	2.9%
Runaway	84	2.4%
Alcohol Abuse	36	1.0%
Criminal Behavior	32	.9%
Marital Conflict	31	.9%
Suicide Attempts	29	.8%
Pregnancy	8	.2%
Prostitution	1	.0%

Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2012

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2012

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

Outcomes

During FY 2012

Table 22
Families and At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services
During Fiscal Years 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011
(Families and At-risk Children are Unduplicated)

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2008	1,623	3,299
2009	1,527	2,932
2010	1,889	3,745
2011	1,796	3,441

Table 23
At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2008	2,484	75.29%	815	24.70%	3,299
2009	2,334	79.60%	598	20.39%	2,932
2010	3,096	82.67%	649	17.32%	3,745
2011	3,054	88.75%	387	11.24%	3,441

Table 24
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider




Table 25
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services
Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Either during IIS or after IIS Exit
(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After Exit)
Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	2,886	52	45	41	51	103	65	56	3,299
2009	2,601	47	58	27	66	75	58	**	2,932
2010	3,366	79	74	36	88	102	**	**	3,745
2011	3,196	42	71	34	98	**	**	**	3,441

Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	2,202	36	34	24	34	71	44	39	2,484
2009	2,103	33	37	22	45	52	42	**	2,334
2010	2,796	54	60	35	76	75	**	**	3,096
2011	2,857	33	61	30	73	**	**	**	3,054

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	684	16	11	17	17	32	21	17	815
2009	498	14	21	5	21	23	16	**	598
2010	570	25	14	1	12	27	**	**	649
2011	339	9	10	4	25	**	**	**	387

Table 26
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their IIS Exit




Table 27
At-risk Children Exiting IIS
Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 Either During IIS or After IIS Exit
(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)
Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	2,454	151	175	92	140	152	76	59	3,299
2009	2,199	174	155	86	102	138	78	**	2,932
2010	2,834	270	274	99	123	145	**	**	3,745
2011	2,792	208	233	92	116	**	**	**	3,441


Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	1,896	121	130	55	96	98	45	43	2,484
2009	1,776	123	115	72	78	111	59	**	2,334
2010	2,362	196	225	89	107	117	**	**	3,096
2011	2,483	188	209	81	93	**	**	**	3,054

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2008	558	30	45	37	44	54	31	16	815
2009	423	51	40	14	24	27	19	**	598
2010	472	74	49	10	16	28	**	**	649
2011	309	20	24	11	23	**	**	**	387

Table 28
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their IIS Exit



Notes: Outcomes During FY 2012

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. At-risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2008 is the only fiscal year, at the time of this report, to allow a 48 month follow-up. FY 2009, FY 2010 and FY 2011 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.